(3)

SINGLE BENCH

C.S. 100/-

(5)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3428 **/2006**

PETITIONER :

Kaleshwar Singh Patel,
S/O. Shri Jagannath Prasad Patel,
aged about - 45 years.
R/o : Q.No. H/43, Atmanagar,
Post : Bango Dam,
Tehsil : Katghora,
Distt. : Korba (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3496/06
Presented by Shri R.P. Rajkade
dated 11/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through - The Secretary,
Aadim Jati & Anusuchit Jati Vikas Vibhag,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur
Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Collector, (Aadiwashi Vikash) Korba)
Korba,
District - Korba (C.G.)

3. District Education Officer,
Korba,
Distt. : Korba (C.G.)

4. Block Education Officer,
Pondi Uproda, Distt. Korba (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-2073/96
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
11/07/06

RECEIVED-COPY
11/7/06
CLERK A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

The petitioner humbly submits following facts and grounds as under :

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

32

मामला क्रमांक WPRO 34 RB 06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13-7-2006 Shri P.K. Patel, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By this writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the order dated 6-7-2006 whereby the petitioner has been transferred by the Collector, respondent No.2 herein, from Primary School, Etma Nagar, Block Pondi Uproda to Primary School Vimlata Block Korba. It has been mentioned that the petitioner has been transferred under the Yukti Yukta Karan Scheme. I have perused the impugned order Annexure-P/1. Perusal of the order does not reflect that the petitioner has been transferred under the said scheme but it is a simple transfer made by the Collector after taking approval from the Minister In-Charge in which in all 76 transfers has been effected. It is settled law that the transfer can be questioned only on the ground that the transfer is outcome of *mala fide* or contrary to the statutory rules. This matter is not covered under any of the above grounds. The writ petition is, therefore, liable to be dismissed and it is hereby dismissed. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2620/2006 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	
Barve		

P.T.O.